Laryngoscopes are an essential component of anaesthetic practice and are at risk of microbial contamination by both patients and healthcare workers. It is well recognised and documented that laryngoscopes are a potential source of horizontal transmission leading to development of hospital-acquired infections (HAIs). There are no consensus guidelines, either globally or nationally, on how best to prevent contamination of laryngoscopes, and surveys have documented varying decontamination practices. Another option is to use disposable blades. Cost is then an issue, and it does not eliminate the problem of the handle. There are no published data from SA (or Africa) regarding the level of microbial contamination of laryngoscope blades and the effectiveness of decontamination practices. Furthermore, the current literature has not adequately assessed the degree of microbial contamination that occurs during the course of daily practice. The microbial bio-burden may have a direct impact on the level of risk associated with horizontal transmission of micro-organisms and subsequent development of disease.
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**Background and objectives.** Hospital-acquired infections (HAIs) are largely preventable through risk analysis and modification of practice. Anaesthetic practice plays a limited role in the prevention of HAIs, although laryngoscope use and decontamination is an area of concern. We aimed to assess the level of microbial contamination of re-usable laryngoscope blades at a public hospital in South Africa.

**Setting.** The theatre complex of a secondary-level public hospital in Johannesburg.

**Methods.** Blades from two different theatres were sampled twice daily, using a standardised technique, over a 2-week period. Samples were quantitatively assessed for microbial contamination, and stratified by area on blade, theatre and time using Fisher’s exact test.

**Results.** A contamination rate of 57.3% (63/110) was found, with high-level contamination accounting for 22.2% of these. Common commensals were the most frequently isolated micro-organisms (79.1%), but important hospital pathogens such as *Enterobacter* species and *Acinetobacter baumannii* were isolated from blades with high-level contamination. No significant difference in the level of microbial contamination by area on blade, theatre or time was found ($p<0.05$).

**Conclusions.** A combination of sub-optimal decontamination and improper handling of laryngoscopes after decontamination results in significant microbial contamination of re-usable laryngoscope blades. There is an urgent need to review protocols and policies surrounding the use of these blades.

This study aimed to assess microbial contamination of laryngoscope blades from the operating theatre of a secondary-level public hospital, permitting insights into the appropriateness and effectiveness of laryngoscope decontamination.

**Materials and methods**

This prospective, descriptive study was conducted in two operating theatres of a secondary-level referral hospital in Johannesburg over two separate 1-week periods. Decontaminated re-usable (‘ready-to-use’) blades in the two theatres were sampled twice daily, before the first and after the last endotracheal intubation of the day. Two distinct regions of the blade (areas 1 and 2) that were deemed to have the most contact time with the oral mucosa were selected and sampled separately. The blades were sampled with sterile swabs using a standardised rolling technique, from point A to B to C, and from point D to E to D for areas 1 and 2, respectively (Fig. 1).

The tips of the swabs were then immersed in the ¼ Ringer's lactate transport medium used to moisten the swabs prior to sampling, and then stored and transported to the laboratory at 4°C on the same day.

Upon receipt of samples in the laboratory 100 µl of the transport medium (containing the swab) was used to inoculate a blood agar plate (BAP) which was then incubated aerobically for 48 hours. After 48 hours’ incubation, colonies of micro-organisms were counted and then identified using standard microbiological methods. A sample was considered positive if there was any microbial growth on the BAP. A colony count of >300 colony-forming units (CFU)/ml was considered high-level contamination, 100 - 300 CFU/ml intermediate-level contamination, and <100 CFU/ml low-level contamination. A sample was considered negative and not contaminated if no colonies were present on the BAP after 48 hours’ incubation.

**Statistical analysis**

Categorical variables such as type of micro-organism and degree of contamination are presented as frequencies and/or percentages. Comparative analysis of the degree of contamination by area on blade, sampling time and theatre was performed using Fisher's exact test, with a p-value of <0.05 considered significant.

**Results**

A total of 112 samples were taken over the 2-week period of sampling. Two samples were rejected and 110 samples included in the analysis. There was a contamination rate of 57.3% (63/110), with common commensals accounting for the majority of micro-organisms isolated (79.1%). High-level contamination of 14 samples was detected, and included nosocomial pathogens such as *Enterobacter* species, (3 samples) and *Acinetobacter baumannii* (1 sample). The different types of micro-organisms and their relative contamination levels are presented in Table 1.

In comparing the level of contamination by area on blade, time of day, theatre and week, no statistically significant differences were found (Table 2).

**Discussion**

This study highlights a significant level of bacterial contamination of re-usable laryngoscope blades. This finding confirms that current decontamination and disinfection practices are suboptimal, placing...
patients at potential risk of acquiring HAIs through horizontal transmission of micro-organisms.

The types of micro-organisms isolated are of interest from a contamination source perspective, and consistent with those previously reported. The predominance of diphtheroids reflects the normal flora of the oral cavity, as does isolation of viridans streptococci and *Arcanobacterium haemolyticum*. However, the large number of coagulase-negative staphylococci and micrococcii isolated may suggest contamination by personnel, as these are common skin commensals. We also aimed to quantitatively assess the level of microbial contamination, as this may influence the adequacy of decontamination. High-level contamination was found in more than 22% of all positive samples, suggesting a serious breach in the decontamination process. Whether these blades were cleaned with a disinfectant at all, or were compromised after cleaning, is unknown but indicates an urgent need for review of the process.

The isolation of *A. baumannii* and *Enterobacter* species on 6 and 4 samples, respectively, is of particular concern given that these are typical hospital pathogens and, with high-level contamination of 4 of the blades, there is a significant risk of nosocomial transmission. Whether contamination of the blades derived from theatre personnel's hands or patients' oral cavities remains speculative. Suboptimal disinfectant (Bioscrub) for soaking and scrubbing, with subsequent rinsing under tap water. Blades were then dried with non-sterile paper towel. This practice is widely employed throughout the country (personal communication, L Visser, 2011). Surveys have corroborated the diversity of methods and disinfectants used, as well as non-compliance with recommendations for high-level disinfection as a minimum standard. However, the choice of high-level disinfection or sterilisation is only one aspect of ensuring appropriate decontamination of blades, and appropriate handling of the blades after decontamination is critical to prevent contamination by theatre personnel. A number of studies have highlighted contamination of laryngoscope handles and the very real threat of cross-contamination of handle to blade. Hand hygiene, appropriate use of gloves and maintenance of aseptic technique are of paramount importance.

According to guidelines, laryngoscope blades should be decontaminated using either a high-level disinfectant or sterilisation, which is consistent with the device being classified as a semi-critical item. In this study, the theatre complex used a low-level disinfectant (Bioscrub) for soaking and scrubbing, with subsequent rinsing under tap water. Blades were then dried with non-sterile paper towel. This practice is widely employed throughout the country (personal communication, L Visser, 2011). Surveys have corroborated the diversity of methods and disinfectants used, as well as non-compliance with recommendations for high-level disinfection as a minimum standard. However, the choice of high-level disinfection or sterilisation is only one aspect of ensuring appropriate decontamination of blades, and appropriate handling of the blades after decontamination is critical to prevent contamination by theatre personnel. A number of studies have highlighted contamination of laryngoscope handles and the very real threat of cross-contamination of handle to blade. Hand hygiene, appropriate use of gloves and maintenance of aseptic technique are of paramount importance.

Although there are reports of outbreaks linked to contaminated laryngoscopes, it often remains difficult to prove conclusively that contaminated laryngoscope blades are responsible for an outbreak or for horizontal transmission of infection. Moreover, no studies have demonstrated a reduced risk of HAI through a change in laryngoscope decontamination practice. This lack of evidence is an obstacle to a change in practice. As pointed out by Muscarella, the lack of consensus guidelines and statements from authoritative bodies regarding the reprocessing of laryngoscope blades has created confusion and uncertainty as to what constitutes a minimum standard. The fact remains that there is a potential risk to patients, and efforts to minimise this risk must be enforced. Other infectious agents such as prions and blood-borne viruses have been shown to be an additional potential risk.

### Table 2. Stratification of blade contamination by sampling variables

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Samples with no growth (n (%))</th>
<th>Samples with positive growth (n (%))</th>
<th>Samples with low-level contamination (1 - 99 CFU/ml) (n (%))</th>
<th>Samples with intermediate-level contamination (100 - 300 CFU/ml) (n (%))</th>
<th>Samples with high-level contamination (&gt;300 CFU/ml) (n (%))</th>
<th>p-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Time of day</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Before 1st intubation</td>
<td>22 (39.3)</td>
<td>34 (60.7)</td>
<td>22 (64.7)</td>
<td>3 (8.8)</td>
<td>9 (26.5)</td>
<td>0.46</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>After last intubation</td>
<td>25 (46.3)</td>
<td>29 (53.7)</td>
<td>23 (79.3)</td>
<td>2 (6.9)</td>
<td>4 (13.8)</td>
<td>0.56</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Area of blade sampled</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Area 1</td>
<td>25 (45.5)</td>
<td>30 (55.5)</td>
<td>22 (73.3)</td>
<td>2 (6.7)</td>
<td>6 (20)</td>
<td>1.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Area 2</td>
<td>22 (40)</td>
<td>33 (60)</td>
<td>23 (69.7)</td>
<td>3 (9.1)</td>
<td>7 (21.2)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Theatre sampled</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Theatre X</td>
<td>22 (39.3)</td>
<td>34 (60.7)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Theatre Y</td>
<td>25 (46.3)</td>
<td>29 (53.7)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Week of sampling</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Week 1</td>
<td>28 (50)</td>
<td>28 (50)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Week 2</td>
<td>19 (35.2)</td>
<td>35 (64.8)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* NS = not stratified.
This study was limited to a single hospital theatre complex and therefore may not be generalisable. It was limited to the identification of aerobic bacterial and fungal micro-organisms, so the degree of contamination with other infectious agents is unknown. The exact point at which most contamination occurs could not be identified, but may have been inappropriate decontamination of the blades after use (with contamination primarily from the patient’s oral cavity), or poor handling of blades after decontamination, with contamination primarily by personnel. It is likely that contamination occurs at both these points, although further studies are needed to confirm this.

In summary, this study highlights the fact that improved and standardised methods of decontamination of laryngoscopes need to be instituted in conjunction with improved infection prevention practices by staff handling the blades.

Acknowledgments. We thank the staff of the Infection Control Services Laboratory, National Health Laboratory Services, for their commitment to the study and dedication to the processing of samples. The paper was presented at the South African Society of Anaesthesiologists Congress, 28 February - 2 March 2011.

Conflict of interests. The study was funded by a Faculty Research Committee grant from the University of the Witwatersrand.

Ethical approval. An ethical waiver was obtained from the University of the Witwatersrand.

References